

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

ADDITION			Washington, D.C. 2023; www.uspto.gov	TRADEMARKS	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Michael L. Boroson			
09/924,778	08/08/2001		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
			83120RLO	4335	
759	03/03/2003				
Thomas H. Clo	ose				
Patent Legal Sta	ff		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
Eastman Kodak Company 343 State Street				LAWRENCE JR, FRANK M	
Rochester, NY	14650-2201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1724	6	
			DATE MAILED: 03/03/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/924,778	1 11
Office Action Summary	Examiner	BOROSON ET AL.
		Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspond
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHER MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and the second of the specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard period for reply will, by standard patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	EPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a roll. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt	ONTH(S) FROM  eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely
		,
2a) This action is FINAL		
2b)	This action is non-final.	
Since this application is in condition for allocation accordance with the practice und Disposition of Claims	owance except for formal matt der <i>Ex parte</i> Q <i>uayle</i> , 1935 C.D	ers, prosecution as to the merits is . 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the applicat	ion	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration	
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are allowed.	rawn from consideration.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25,26,28-32 and 35</u> is/are rejected.		
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>33 and 34</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election require	
- aporo		
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	ented or hV objects to the	E
- J - G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	bo d=	
g variable incu (i)	IS all   approved by   7 are	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
		pproved by the Examiner.
The bath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.	
fority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some ★ cv None of	n priority under 35 H.S.C. & 44	10(a) (d) - (a
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	. , ,	(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applic	ngtion N
application from the International But  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	rity documents have been rece reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the codified as a line	eived in this National Stage
The interest of a claim for domestic	C Driority under 35 U.S.O. S.44	04.5 %
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov 5)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	visional application has been	9(e) (to a provisional application).
" signification dolliesing	priority under 35 U.S.C. 88 1	eceived. 20 and/or 124
	2.2.3.7	~~ and/or 12],
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summ	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	o) 🖰 Monice of Illiorma	Patent Application (PTO-152)
nt and Trademark Office	6)	( 102)

Application/Control Number: 09/924,778

Art Unit: 1724

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The graphic table on page 21 of the specification should be deleted and submitted as a separate figure of drawing because such tables can not be reproduced in the printing process. Also, the specification should be amended to include a reference to the figure and a brief description.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 25, 26, 28-32 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kyricos (5,445,876; figures 1, 2; col. 1, lines 5-20; col. 2, line 63 to col. 3, line 21; col. 4, line 36 to col. 5, line 29; claim 9).
- 4. Kyricos (\*876) teaches a desiccant material including zeolite water adsorbing particles, a fibrous carrier, and a binder made of silica particles having a mean diameter between 50 and 500 nanometers. The silica particles are formed into siloxane bonds and function as an adhesive to allow shaping of the zeolite, which is present in between 10-90 wt. % of the mass (see figure 2). The desiccant can be used in sealed enclosures to absorb moisture and the binder strengthens the material without degrading absorption properties. The desiccant is inherently capable of providing a humidity level les than 100 ppm depending on the initial humidity in an application,

Application/Control Number: 09/924,778

Art Unit: 1724

Page 3

and the water vapor transmission rate of the binder and carrier will be greater than the rate recited in claim 32 because a porous unit is formed.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 1-24 are allowed.
- 6. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: A desiccant including solid water-absorbing particles of one or more materials, the solid water absorbing particles including solid particles of one ore materials, at least one of which has an average particle size in a range of 0.001 to less than 0.1 microns to provide a high rate of water absorption and to provide an equilibrium humidity level lower than a humidity level to which a device is sensitive within a sealed enclosure, is not taught, disclosed or suggested in a single reference or a combination of references in the prior art of record. The closest prior art discloses a desiccant having water absorbing particles with an average particle size of 0.1 micron or higher.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

- 7. Claims 27, 33 and 34 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:
  The desiccant as recited in claim 25, wherein a solid water absorbing particle from the group of claim 27 is used, or wherein the binder of claim 26 is used and is radiation curable, is not taught,

Application/Control Number: 09/924,778

Art Unit: 1724

disclosed or suggested in a single reference or a combination of references in the prior art of record.

### Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 25-35 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. A new prior art rejection over Kyricos is presented to address the amendment to claim 25. Claims 1-24 have been sufficiently amended to overcome the previous prior art rejections, however independent claim 25 does not exclude the use of non-water absorbing nanoparticles in a desiccant.

#### Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1724

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank M. Lawrence whose telephone number is 703-305-0585. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7:30-5:00; alternate Fridays 7:00-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A. Simmons can be reached on 703-308-1972. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

fl **//** February 19, 2003

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700